

Message

From: Hales, Dana [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=D18193CD39504DFD923A124DDE2C6240-WALKER, DANA]
Sent: 1/14/2021 12:51:03 PM
To: Abraham, Sara Reji [saabraham@pa.gov]
CC: Patel, Pravin [prpatel@pa.gov]; Martinsen, Jessica [Martinsen.Jessica@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: [External] RE: Marcus Hook Generating Station permit question (PA0244449)

OK, thanks Sara. Just so you know, I am not working tomorrow but will be working until 11 am on Monday and then in all day Tuesday as well.

I think I neglected to add a citation from 423.13 that may be applicable. 40 CFR 423.13(b)(1) and (b)(2) appear to be applicable to this discharge as well, but I believe that (b)(1) may already be addressed by the TRC limit currently imposed in the draft permit. I don't see that 423.13(b)(2) has been addressed. Again – we can talk when you are ready.

Dana

Dana Hales
US Environmental Protection Agency
Clean Water Branch
Permits Section (3WD41)
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: 215.814.2928
Email: hales.dana@epa.gov

From: Abraham, Sara Reji <saabraham@pa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2021 7:38 AM
To: Hales, Dana <Hales.Dana@epa.gov>
Cc: Patel, Pravin <prpatel@pa.gov>; Martinsen, Jessica <Martinsen.Jessica@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: Marcus Hook Generating Station permit question (PA0244449)

Good Morning Dana:

I can look into this and talk , I hope before you send the comments out.
Currently I am busy with something. May be tomorrow or Tuesday , 19 th , I will give you a call.

Thank you

Sara Abraham | Project Manager
Department of Environmental Protection | Southeast Regional Office
2 East Main Street | Norristown, PA 19401
Phone: 484.250.5195 | Fax: 484.250.5971
www.dep.pa.gov

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any use of this information other than by the intended recipient is prohibited. If you receive this message in error, please send a reply e-mail to the sender and delete the material from any and all computers.

From: Hales, Dana <Hales.Dana@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 4:24 PM
To: Abraham, Sara Reji <saabraham@pa.gov>
Cc: Patel, Pravin <prpatel@pa.gov>; Martinsen, Jessica <martinsen.jessica@epa.gov>
Subject: [External] RE: Marcus Hook Generating Station permit question (PA0244449)

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Hi Sara,

I finished going through the draft permit and fact sheet, and do have a few additional questions related to WET:

1. The summary table of WET test results includes an NOEC of 2 for Ceriodaphnia on June 2018 tests. 2% was not a dilution in the dilution series, so I'm wondering if this was a typo? Otherwise the NOEC value does not make sense to me.
2. Did you evaluate WET toxicity based on these NOEC and LC50 values, or did you evaluate the data using the TST approach? If the TST analysis was used, could you please provide a copy of the WET Analysis Spreadsheets for our review (if these electronically available)?

EPA's comments on this permit are due by next week, January 20, 2021. If you think we need a call to discuss any of my questions please let me know and I'll set that up. Of course I can always send comments and we can discuss afterwards, if that works best. Let me know.

Thanks,
Dana

Dana Hales
US Environmental Protection Agency
Clean Water Branch
Permits Section (3WD41)
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: 215.814.2928
Email: hales.dana@epa.gov

From: Hales, Dana
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 4:13 PM
To: Abraham, Sara Reji <saabraham@pa.gov>
Cc: Patel, Pravin <prpatel@pa.gov>; Martinsen, Jessica <Martinsen.Jessica@epa.gov>
Subject: Marcus Hook Generating Station permit question (PA0244449)

Hi Sara,

I have been going through the Marcus Hook draft permit. I see that the permit applies the BPT requirements for the Steam Electric ELG (40 CFR 423.12), but does not include what appears to be applicable BAT requirements at 423.13. Based on my review of 423.13, it seems that the following BAT requirements may apply:

1. 423.13(a): There shall be no discharge of polychlorinated biphenyl compounds such as those commonly used for transformer fluid
2. 423.13(d)(1), (d)(2), and (d)(3) (which is related to (d)(1)):

(d)(1) The quantity of pollutants discharged in cooling tower blowdown shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of cooling tower blowdown times the concentration listed below:

Pollutant or pollutant property	BAT effluent limitations	
	Maximum concentration (mg/l)	Average concentration (mg/l)
Free available chlorine	0.5	0.2
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day –(mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed = (mg/l)
The 126 priority pollutants (Appendix A) contained in chemicals added for cooling tower maintenance, except:	(¹)	(¹)
Chromium, total	0.2	0.2
Zinc, total	1.0	1.0

¹ No detectable amount.

(2) Neither free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time unless the utility can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator or State, if the State has NPDES permit issuing authority, that the units in a particular location cannot operate at or below this level of chlorination.

(3) At the permitting authority's discretion, instead of the monitoring specified in 40 CFR 122.11(b) compliance with the limitations for the 126 priority pollutants in paragraph (d)(1) of this section may be determined by engineering calculations which demonstrate that the regulated pollutants are not detectable in the final discharge by the analytical methods in 40 CFR part 136.

Does PADEP agree that these BAT requirements are applicable? If not, can you provide an explanation as to why? If so, are there any additional BAT requirements that may apply? I am still reviewing the permit, and may have additional questions but I will let you know if that's the case. Let me know what you think about the applicability of 40 CFR 423.13.

Thanks,
Dana

Dana Hales
US Environmental Protection Agency
Clean Water Branch
Permits Section (3WD41)
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: 215.814.2928
Email: hales.dana@epa.gov